Perceived Leader Integrity Scale (PLIS)

Instructions: The following items concern your perceptions of another person's behavior. Circle responses to indicate how well each item describes the person you are rating.

Key:	I = Not at all 2	= Barely	3 = Somewhat		4	= \	/ell
	Puts his or her personal interests ahead of the organization Would risk other people to protect himself or herself in work matters			I I	2 2	3	4 4
3	Enjoys turning down request	rs		ı	2	3	4
	Deliberately fuels conflict be		people	i	2	3	4
	Would blackmail an employe he could get away with it			İ	2	3	4
6.	Would deliberately exaggera make them look bad to other		istakes to	I	2	3	4
7.	Would treat some people be sex or belonged to a different	etter if they w		I	2	3	4
8	Ridicules people for their mi		P	ı	2	3	4
	Can be trusted with confide		ion	i	2	3	4
	Would lie to me	Treat Informaci		i	2	3	4
	ls evil			İ	2	3	4
12.	Is not interested in tasks that	t don't bring	personal glory	I	2	3	4
	or recognition	0 1	0 ,				
13.	Would do things that violate	organizationa	al policy and	1	2	3	4
	then expect others to cover	for him or he	er				
14.	Would allow someone else	to be blamed	for his or				
	her mistake			I	2	3	4
15.	Would deliberately avoid res			I	2	3	4
	or other messages to cause						
16.	Would make trouble for sor	neone who go	ot on his or	I	2	3	4
. 7	her bad side				2	,	4
	Would engage in sabotage as			!	2	3	4
	Would deliberately distort v	vnat other ped	opie say	!	2	3	4
	Is a hypocrite Is vindictive			!	2	3	4
	Would try to take credit for	other people	's ideas	i	2	3	4
	Likes to bend the rules	other people	is ideas	;	2	3	4
	Would withhold information	or construct	ive feedback	i	2	3	4
25.	because he or she wants so		IVC ICCUDACK	•	_	,	•
24.	Would spread rumors or go		hurt people	ı	2	3	4
	or the organization	33.p 33 1., 13	6 6006.0		_		•
25.	Is rude or uncivil to cowork	ers		I	2	3	4
26.	Would try to hurt someone	's career beca	use of a grudge	I	2	3	4
27.	Shows unfair favoritism towa			1	2	3	4

28.	Would steal from the organization	1	2	3	4
29.	Would falsify records if it would help his or her	1	2	3	4
	work situation				
30.	Has high moral standards	1	2	3	4

SOURCE: Adapted from a version of the PLIS that appeared in Leadership Quarterly, 9(2), S. B. Craig and S. B. Gustafson, "Perceived Leader Integrity Scale: An Instrument for Assessing Employee Perceptions of Leader Integrity," pp. 143-144, 1998. Used with permission of the authors.

Scoring

The PLIS measures your perceptions of another person's integrity in an organizational setting. Your responses on the PLIS indicate the degree to which you see that person's behavior as ethical.

Score the questionnaire by doing the following. First, reverse the scores on items 9 and 30 (i.e., I becomes 4, 2 becomes 3, 3 becomes 2, and 4 becomes 1). Next, sum the responses on all 30 items. A low score on the questionnaire indicates that you perceive the person you evaluated to be highly ethical. A high score indicates that you perceive that person to be very unethical. The interpretation of what the score represents follows.

Scoring Interpretation

Your score is a measure of your perceptions of another person's ethical integrity. Based on previous findings (Craig & Gustafson, 1998), the following interpretations can be made about your total score:

- 30-32 High ethical: If your score is in this range, it means that you see the person you evaluated as highly ethical. Your impression is that the person is very trustworthy and principled.
- 33-45 Moderate ethical: Scores in this range mean that you see the person as moderately ethical. Your impression is that the person might engage in some unethical behaviors under certain conditions.
- 46–120 Low ethical: Scores in this range describe people who are seen as very unethical. Your impression is that the person you evaluated does things that are dishonest, unfair, and unprincipled almost any time he or she has the opportunity.